

ANNUAL NUTRIENT SURVEY for Local Government Authorities

2023 City of Melville Nutrient Management Score Card

The Swan and Canning River systems, and many wetlands, are suffering from regular, and sometimes toxic, algal blooms. These blooms occur due to excessive inputs of nutrients, particularly phosphorus and nitrogen, combined with low water flows and warm temperatures. Local authorities are responsible for nutrient use and management on turfed areas and in reserves, in drainage systems and in local planning decisions and thus have the opportunity to lead the community by setting examples in best practice.

Each year Local Government Authorities (LGAs) in Perth are surveyed on their nutrient practices by the Phosphorus Awareness Project of the South East Regional Centre for Urban Landcare (SERCUL). The survey is broken up into different sections including nutrient monitoring, fertiliser applications to foreshore areas, nutrient management, water quality monitoring, development control and nutrient education. The results from the questions asked in the survey have been used to provide a Score Card for each LGA that responded and clearly show how the LGA is performing and where and how improvements can be made. LGAs should also refer to the Annual Nutrient Survey for Local Government Authorities Results 2023 report (www.sercul.org.au/fertilisewise) for further recommendations on how to implement nutrient Best Management Practices (BMPs).

Please note that not all of the questions asked in the survey were used to determine the overall best management practice score. Any additional information about nutrient practices provided by an LGA is summarised at the end of this scorecard.

2023 Overall Best Management Practice Score – 73% ABOVE AVERAGE

The City of Melville has been above average in implementing nutrient Best Management Practices in 2022/23. Further improvements can be made in the areas of nutrient monitoring, fertiliser applications, nutrient management, water quality monitoring and development control.

RESPONSE KEY:

- BMP has been achieved
- BMP has NOT been achieved
- Not Applicable
- Response not assessed

BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE (BMP) KEY:

- Excelling
- Above Average
- Average
- Below Average
- Unsatisfactory

NUTRIENT MONITORING

QUESTION	RESPONSE	SECTION BMP
Were regular soil nutrient tests, soil moisture tests &/or leaf tissue analyses conducted in any grass/turf areas?	YES	EXCELLING
Was analysis conducted by a lab affiliated with ASPAC?	YES	
Was plant available phosphorus in the soil measured using an appropriate test?	YES	
Were rates of phosphorus determined by soil testing and Phosphorus Retention Index (PRI) results?	YES	

The City conducts soil tests, moisture testing and leaf tissue analysis at sports fields, golf courses and irrigated parks and it is recommended that this practice continue. It does not conduct any testing or analysis of foreshore and dry grass areas, despite fertilising both areas. It is recommended that the City undertakes regular soil testing and leaf tissue analysis of all turf areas that are fertilised and moisture testing if these areas are irrigated.

FORESHORE FERTILISER APPLICATIONS

QUESTION	RESPONSE	SECTION BMP
Are there grassed/turfed foreshore areas within the LGA?	YES	BELOW AVERAGE
Was fertiliser added to grassed/turfed foreshore reserves?	YES	
Did the fertiliser contain phosphorus?	NO	
Was it a controlled release solid fertiliser or a liquid fertiliser applied to foliage?	NO	
Was there a buffer zone around waterbodies in which no fertiliser was applied?	NO	
Was any nutrient testing completed of foreshore areas?	NO	

A buffer zone immediately adjacent to waterbodies should be established in which no fertilising takes place. The width of the buffer zone should be determined by factors such as the site condition and function, however, if possible, it should be at least 30 - 50 m around natural waterbodies. Outside the buffer zone, if fertiliser is required according to soil testing and leaf tissue analysis, it should be phosphorus free and a controlled release, low water soluble fertiliser if in solid form or applied to foliage and left to dry if a liquid.

General Fertiliser Recommendations:

Fertilisers were applied at rates above the maximum recommended single application rate of nitrogen of 40 kg/ha, however where a controlled release fertiliser is being used higher rates may be acceptable. Sure Green Max is a slow release fertiliser so it would need to be determined how much of the nitrogen is readily available to know if it is above recommended rates, but it is being applied in foreshore areas where no testing was completed within the last two financial years. Nutrients can be released from slow release fertilisers very quickly when excessive moisture and high temperatures occur in the same period. It is also being added to foreshore areas at rates above the recommended annual application rate of 0 kg/ha/yr for grass buffers. The City should adhere to the recommended annual application rates for the types of turf it is managing. Employees involved in turf management would benefit from attending SERCULs Fertilise Wise Fertiliser Training in 2024.

NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

QUESTION	RESPONSE	SECTION BMP
Were structural BMPs in place to reduce nutrients entering waterbodies?	YES	ABOVE AVERAGE
Were non-structural measures in place to prevent nutrients from grass clippings entering waterbodies directly or via stormwater drains?	YES	
Were there deciduous trees in parks and streetscapes?	YES	
Were non-structural measures in place to prevent nutrients from deciduous leaves entering waterbodies directly or via stormwater drains?	YES	
Were non-structural measures in place to prevent nutrients from sediment entering waterbodies directly or via stormwater drains?	YES	
Was a Nutrient and Irrigation Management Plan (NIMP) implemented for streetscapes?	NO	
Was there a policy to use local native plants as the first choice in public (LGA) and private (developers) landscaping?	NO	

It is recommended that no further deciduous trees be planted on road verges or near waterbodies. A NIMP should be implemented for streetscapes and a policy to use local native plants as the first choice in landscaping enacted.

WATER QUALITY MONITORING

QUESTION	RESPONSE	SECTION BMP
Were wetlands regularly monitored for nutrient levels?	YES	BELOW AVERAGE
Were stormwater drains regularly monitored for nutrient levels?	NO	
Were compensating basins regularly monitored for nutrient levels?	NO	

The City monitored nutrient levels in wetlands and reported the results to the local community. It is recommended that the City implement a monitoring program for stormwater drains and compensating basins and report the results to the local community. SERCULs Water Quality Monitoring Team can assist LGAs with undertaking this work and can be contacted on 9458 5664.

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL

QUESTION	RESPONSE	SECTION BMP
Were there provisions in the Town Planning Scheme or Planning Policies to enforce environmental conditions on development?	YES	ABOVE AVERAGE
Did the LGA impose conditions on development which included Nutrient and Irrigation Management Plans (NIMPs)?	NO	
Did the LGA have mechanisms in place to regulate sediment management?	YES	

It is recommended that the City impose conditions requiring NIMPs on developments, monitors these for compliance and prosecutes developers that are not complying.

NUTRIENT EDUCATION

QUESTION	RESPONSE	SECTION BMP
Were dog poo bins and bags provided in parks and foreshore reserves?	YES	EXCELLING
Were measures taken to educate the public about not feeding bread to waterbirds in foreshore reserves and parks?	YES	
Were ratepayers provided with advice on best practice in fertiliser management according to soil type?	YES	
Was education provided about nutrient sources to waterways?	YES	

It is recommended that the City continue to implement their current practices. In addition to what is currently being undertaken, SERCUL has relevant information on its website that can be linked to and can be engaged to deliver presentations about nutrients and their impact on waterways to schools, business and community groups through its Phosphorus Awareness Project. For more information on this education program and how it can assist the City with nutrient education contact Natasha Bowden on 9458 5664.